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The pathogenesis of chronic inflammatory diseases is promoted by various pro-inflammatory cytokines. p38 MAP kinase seems to be a valid target as it controls proinflammatory cytokine levels on both transcriptional and translational levels. Starting from benzophenone-type inhibitors, a rigidisation strategy lead to 3-amino-6,11-dihydro-dibenzo[b,e]thiepin-11-one, phenylamino-substituted 6,11-dihydro-dibenzo[ $b, e$ ]oxepin-11-ones, and dibenzo[ $a, d]$ cyclohepten-5-ones. Synthesis, p38 inhibition, and CYP-inhibition of selected compounds are described.

## Introduction

First generation compounds like the pyridinyl-imidazole 2 (SB-203580) ${ }^{1,2}$ (Figure 1) targeted the kinase's binding site for its cosubstrate ATP. ${ }^{3}$ Compound 2-type compounds are fully competitive with ATP, occupying essentially the same molecular interaction sites as ATP itself at the binding site of the kinase., ${ }^{4,5}$ Both pyridinyl and imidazol rings are known to bind to cytochrome P-450 (CYP-450) enzymes, implicating hepatotoxicity as well as drug-drug interaction potential. Thus, there is a continuous need for structurally novel inhibitors that overcome the intrinsic problems of the common diaryl-substituted heterocyclic compounds. More recently developed second generation p38 MAP kinase ${ }^{6}$ inhibitors differ insofar as they do not directly compete with ATP for its binding site; they are exemplified by the pyrazolyl-urea derivatives like 4 (BIRB796; ${ }^{7}$ Figure 1) and the 4-phenylamino-diarylketones 3 (LEO; ; ${ }^{8,9}$ Figure 1). For 4, Regan et al. ${ }^{10-12}$ described a novel binding mode based on an X-ray structure.

In this study, we describe the synthesis and biological testing of novel 3-amino-6,11-dihydro-dibenzo[b,e]thiepin-11-ones, 26, N -substituted 3- and 8-amino-6,11-dihydro-dibenzo $[b, e]$ oxepin-11-ones, 27 and 28, 2-amino-10,11-dihydro-dibenzo[ $a, d$ ]cyclo-hepten-5-ones, 29, and 2-amino-dibenzo-[a, $d]$ cyclohepten-5ones, 30. Kinase structures are quite flexible as they undergo substantial conformational changes during activation. Together with flexible inhibitor structures, there is considerable room for induced fits that may reduce the selectivity of the compounds. Therefore, the aim of our work was to design very rigid structures. SAR from benzophenone derivates make it obvious that inhibitory potency is related to limited ability of rotation due to steric hindrance. In consequence, we proposed to fix the torsion angle between the two phenyl rings by introduction of condensed ring systems. To keep the molecular geometry and the spatial conformation similar to those of the benzophenones, we chose the moieties ethano, etheno, methylenoxy, and methylsulfanyl as linkers.

## Chemistry

Synthesis of the scaffolds 3-amino-6,11-dihydro-dibenzo $[b, e]$ -thiepin-11-one, 8c, 3-amino-6,11-dihydrodibenzo[b,e]oxepin-

[^0]11-one, 8b, 3-fluoro-6,11-dihydrodibenzo[b,e]oxepin-11-one, 8a (Scheme 1), and 8-amino-6,11-dihydrodibenzo[b,e]oxepin-11one, 14 (Scheme 2), were performed via either 7c, 7b, 7a, or 12 in a modified synthetic pathway according to Kluge et al. ${ }^{13}$

Preparation of the scaffold 2-amino-dibenzosuberone 23 and the corresponding 2 -fluoro-dibenzosuberenone 24 (Scheme 3) was derived from a modified synthesis according to Eicher et al. ${ }^{14}$ and Kluge et al.

Coupling of the resulting ketones with any respective residues was carried out with the suitable fluoronitrobenzene or amino compound using sodium hydride and a polar aprotic solvent or by melting the reactants in the absence of reagents or solvent (Scheme 4). Any resulting nitro compound was reduced to the corresponding amine by the use of tin and HCl or tin(II)-chloride-dihydrate.

## Biological Testing

All compounds were primarily screened in an isolated p38 $\alpha$ kinase assay. ${ }^{15}$ CYP interactions were investigated by BDGentest Corp. ${ }^{16}$

## Results and Discussion

From the results of p38 MAP kinase enzyme assays, ${ }^{15}$ summarized in Table 1, we deduced several SARs. Any ortho-
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1 a $X=O, \quad Y=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$
$1 \mathrm{~b} X=\mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{O}$
1c $X=\mathrm{CH}_{2}, Y=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$
$1 \mathrm{~d} X=\mathrm{CH}, \quad \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{CH}$

Figure 1. MAP kinase p38 inhibitors.

Scheme 1. Preparation of 6,11-Dihydrodibenzo $[b, e]$ oxepin-11-one Derivates ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents: (a) NaH , DMF; (b) PPA, sulfolan.
Scheme 2. Preparation of
8-Amino-6,11-dihydrodibenzo $[b, e]$ oxepin-11-one ${ }^{a}$



a NBS, AIBN/Br ${ }_{2}$
b $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, Aceton
c KOH, Ethanol
d PPA, Sulfolan
e SnCl, Ethanol
${ }^{a}$ Reagents: (a) NBS, AIBN/ $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$; (b) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, acetone; (c) KOH , ethanol; (d) PPA, sulfolan; (e) $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2}$, ethanol.
anilino-substituted 2 -aminodibenz $[a, d]$ epines inhibited p38 MAP kinase with medium to good $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values ranging from $\sim 1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ 30b to $0.1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ 29b. Interestingly, 28d, the isomeric structure to 27i, resulted in almost total loss in activity. Moving the amino substituent to either the meta- or the para-position led to reduced activity (cf. $\mathbf{2 7} \mathbf{i}$ vs $\mathbf{2 7 k}$ and $\mathbf{2 7 j}$ and, correspondingly, cf. 29b vs 29d); note that even the potency of ortho-anilino compound $\mathbf{2 7 n}$ deteriorated due to the 4 -amino substituent. Because other 4 -substituents are less detrimental, steric reasons cannot fully explain the reduction in inhibitor potency; hydrogen bond donor/ acceptor functions of the amino group might be responsible. Comparing 271 to $\mathbf{2 7} \mathbf{j}$ and $\mathbf{2 7 n}$, it is surprising that inhibitory activity of the para-compounds is improved by the introduction of fluorine instead of an amino group in position 2. Consequently, we replaced the 4 -amino substituent of $\mathbf{2 7 n}$ with fluorine, leading to the potent p38 kinase inhibitor 27a $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=\right.$ $0.239 \mu \mathrm{M})$. Subsequently, the other 2,4-dihalogen substituted compounds $\mathbf{2 7 b}$ and $27 \mathbf{c}$ were investigated and found to lose activity as the size of the halogen increased. The combination of the substitution patterns of both 27 a and 27 i led us finally to the best inhibitor in this series. Compound $\mathbf{2 7 m}$ gave an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of 38 nM , surpassing both reference compounds $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ (Figure 1).

For further investigations, we selected 29b as a candidate with good activity in p38 enzyme assay and first in vivo experiments (data not shown). The second target activity in the development of novel p38 inhibitors was CYP interaction. Concerning this parameter, compound 29b was only slightly superior to 2. The most relevant isoenzyme, 2D6, was only inhibited by $42 \%$, whereas $\mathbf{2}$ inhibited this same enzyme variant by $73 \%$. (Table 2 ).

Scheme 3. Preparation of 2-Amino-dibenzosuberone ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) NBS, AIBN, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, reflux; (b) $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{Ph})_{3}$, acetone, reflux; (c) $\mathrm{NaOMe}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, reflux; (d) NaOH (20\%), MeOH, reflux; (e) $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ (4 bar), Pd/C, ethyl acetate, rt; (f) acetic anhydride, rt; (g) PPA, sulfolan, reflux; (h) $\mathrm{HCl}(20 \%)$, reflux.

Scheme 4. Coupling of the Ketones with Respective Residues


In summary, our 3-phenylamino-dibenzo $[a, d]$ thiepin-11-ones, 3-phenylamino-dibenzo[b,e]oxepin-11-ones, and 2-phenylaminodibenzosuberones represent a structurally novel class of p38 MAP kinase inhibitors that have good activities.

## Experimental Section

General. All commercially available reagents and solvents are used without further purification. Melting points were determined with a Büchi melting point B-545, IR data were determined with a Perkin-Elmer Spectrum One (ATR Technik), and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(200 \mathrm{MHz})$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 50 MHz ) were determined with a Bruker Advance 200 using TMS as internal standard. The chemical shifts are reported in ppm.

For the preparation of the 3-fluoro or 3- or 8-amino-6,11-dihydrodibenzo $[b, e]$ oxepin-11-one and -thiepinone templates and of the 2 -aminodibenzosuberones and 2-aminodibenzosuberenones, we used the method published by Kluge et al.

2-(3-Fluoro-phenoxymethyl)-benzoic Acid (7a). Yield 48.5\%; $\mathrm{mp} 90-92^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .2$-(3-Acetylamino-phenoxymethyl)-benzoic Acid (7b). Yield $50.0 \%$; mp 200-202 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. 2-(3-Acetylamino-phenyl-sulfanylmethyl)-benzoic Acid (7c). Mp 161-163 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. 2-Bromo-

Table 1. Inhibitory Activity in MAP Kinase p38 Alpha Enzyme Assay

| cmpd | Y-X | R | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{IC}_{50} \\ \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \end{gathered}$ | $n$ | SEM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26b | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ EnDash-S- | 2-NH2 | 0.196 | 3 | 0.051 |
| 27a | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}-$ | 2,4-di-F | 0.239 | 3 | 0.036 |
| 27b | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}-$ | 2,4-di-Cl | 3.021 | 3 | 0.224 |
| 27c | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}-$ | 2,4-di-Br | >5 | 3 |  |
| 27i | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}-$ | $2-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | 0.303 | 6 | 0.072 |
| 27j | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}-$ | $4-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | >5 | 3 |  |
| 27k | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}-$ | $3-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | 1.219 | 2 | 0.027 |
| 271 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}-$ | 2-F, 4- $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | 1.316 | 3 | 0.185 |
| 27m | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}-$ | 2-NH2, 4-F | 0.038 | 3 | 0.016 |
| 27n | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}-$ | 2,4-di-NH2 | >5 | 3 |  |
| 28d | $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 2-NH2 | 3.060 | 1 |  |
| 29b | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 2-NH2 | 0.104 | 9 | 0.018 |
| 29d | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $4-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | 1.171 | 3 | 0.096 |
| 30b | $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}$ | $2-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | 1.056 | 3 | 0.066 |
| 2 |  |  | 0.068 | 44 | 0.008 |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{3} \\ & \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{Cl} \end{aligned}$ | 2-NH2 | 0.190 | 3 | 0.065 |
| 4 |  |  | 0.089 | 6 | 0.038 |

Table 2. Inhibitory Activity on CYP-450 Isozymes ${ }^{a}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 C 1 9}$ | 2D6 | $\mathbf{3 A 4}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $92 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $77 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 9 b}$ | $53 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $70 \%$ |

${ }^{a}$ As tested by BDGentest Corp. ${ }^{16}$ Values are reported as \% inhibition at a concentration of $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$.
methyl-4-nitro-benzoic Acid Methyl Ester (10). Yield $75.1 \%$. 4-Nitro-2-phenoxymethyl-benzoic Acid Methyl Ester (11). Yield $46.0 \%$; mp $112{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .4$-Nitro-2-phenoxymethyl-benzoic Acid (12). Yield $67.7 \%$; mp $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. 2-Bromomethyl-benzoic Acid Methyl Ester (16). The crude product was used in the next step without further purification. (2-Methoxycarbonylbenzyl)-triphenylphosphoniumbromide (17). Yield $60.5 \%$; mp $234^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .2-[(\boldsymbol{E} / \boldsymbol{Z})$-2-(3-Nitro-phenyl)-vinyl]-benzoic Acid Methyl Ester (18a). Yield $78.1 \%$; mp $66.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .2-[(\boldsymbol{E} / \mathrm{Z})$-2-(3-Fluoro-phenyl)-vinyl]-benzoic Acid Methyl Ester (18b). Yield 79.1\%. 2-[(E/Z)-2-(3-Nitro-phenyl)-vinyl]-benzoic Acid (19a). Yield $80.0 \%$; mp $164-166^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. 2-[(E/Z)-2-(3-Fluoro-phenyl)-vinyl]-benzoic Acid (19b). Yield $52.9 \%$; mp $113{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .2$-[2-(3-Acetylamino-phenyl)-ethyl]-benzoic Acid (21). Yield 78.9\%; mp 145-148 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. 3-Fluoro-6,11-dihydrodibenzo $[b, e]$ oxepin-11-one (8a). Yield $53.2 \%$; mp $79-81^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. 8-Nitro-6 $\boldsymbol{H}$-dibenzo [b,e] ]oxepin-11-one (13). Yield $90.0 \%$; mp 175 ${ }^{\circ}$ C. 2-Fluoro-dibenzo[a, $\left.\boldsymbol{d}\right]$ cyclohepten-5-one (24). Yield $40.7 \%$; $\mathrm{mp} 120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. 3-Amino-6,11-dihydro-dibenzo $[b, e]$ oxepin-11-one Hydrochloride (8b). Yield 89.0\%. 3-Amino-6,11-dihydro-diben-zo[b,e]thiepin-11-one Hydrochloride (8c). Yield $86.7 \%$; mp 204$206{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .2$-Amino-10,11-dihydro-dibenzo [a,d]cyclohepten-5-one Hydrochloride (23). Yield $91.9 \%$; mp $219{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. 3-(2,4-Difluoro-phenylamino)-6,11-dihydro-dibenzo[b,e]oxepin-11-one (27a). 2,4Difluoroaniline ( $0.46 \mathrm{~g} ; 3.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was given to a suspension of $0.30 \mathrm{~g}(6.9 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{NaH}(55 \%)$ in 7.5 mL of DMF in small portions. After the gas formation was completed, $0.82 \mathrm{~g}(3.60 \mathrm{mmol}) 8 \mathrm{8a}$ were added, and the mixture was stirred at about $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h . After cooling down to room temperature, 50 mL of ice water was added, and the mixture was acidified with $\mathrm{HCl}(20 \%)$. The deposit was filtered and purified by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2} 60$, DCM/MeOH $95+5$ ) to yield $6.8 \%$; mp $158.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.30-8.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.95(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78-7.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.59-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.98-6.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.67-6.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.48$ (s, 1H), 5.93 (s, 1H), 5.16 (s, 2H); IR $3307 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H})$; IR (ATR, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 1667,1629,1588,1575,1552,1523,1500,1479,1457,1436$, $1376,1360,1348,1329,1307,1288,1261,1231,1219,1181,1157$, 1142, 1121, 1097, 1062, 1028, 965, 926, 849, 827, 761, 720, 711, 704; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{NO}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

A Similar Procedure Was Used to Prepare the Following Compounds: 3-(2,4-Dichloro-phenylamino)-6,11-dihydro-diben-zo[b,e]oxepin-11-one (27b). Yield $3.1 \%$; mp $155.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.37-8.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.00-7.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61-7.32(\mathrm{~m}$,

5H), 7.29-7.17 (m, 1H), 6.81-6.74 (m, 1H), 6.70-6.64 (m, 1H), $6.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.19(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; IR $1589 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 188.73,162.95,148.45,140.45,136.06,135.31,134.12,132.29$, 129.65, 129.51, 129.14, 127.59 (2C'), 127.16, 125.01, 120.54, $119.24,111.68,105.20,73.60$; IR (ATR) ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 1589, 1573, 1514, $1468,1326,1298,1278,1253,1121,1100,758,703$; Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{13^{-}}$ $\left.\mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{NO}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

3-(2,4-Dibromo-phenylamino)-6,11-dihydro-dibenzo [b,e]ox-epin-11-one (27c). Yield 8.0\%; mp $157.2-159.2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.31-8.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.98-7.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.86-7.69(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.88-6.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.70-6.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.19(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 188.74, 162.95, 148.36, 140.44, 137.74, 135.31 (2C), 134.13, 132.30, 131.15, 129.50, 129.14, 127.59, 120.89, 119.32, 115.47, 114.86, 111.75, 105.34, 73.60; IR (ATR, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 1634, 1602, 1586, 1561, 1463, 1329, 1301, 1276, 1252, 1121, 1049, 818, 759, 702, 686; Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{13^{-}}$ $\left.\mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{NO}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

3-(2-Nitro-phenylamino)-6,11-dihydro-dibenzo[b,e]oxepin-11one (27d). Yield $43.7 \%$. 3-(4-Nitro-phenylamino)-6,11-dihydrodibenzo $[b, e]$ oxepin-11-one (27e). Yield $95.0 \%$. 3-(3-Nitro-phenylamino)-6,11-dihydro-dibenzo[b,e]oxepin-11-one (27f). Yield 92.3\%. 3-(2,4-Dinitro-phenylamino)-6,11-dihydro-dibenzo[b,e]-oxepin-11-one ( $\mathbf{2 7} \mathbf{g}$ ). Yield $84.0 \%$. 3-(2-Fluoro-4-nitro-phenyl-amino)-6,11-dihydro-dibenzo[b,e]oxepin-11-one (27h). Yield 57.5\%. 2-(2-Nitro-phenylamino)-dibenzo[a,d]cyclohepten-5-one (30a). The crude product was used in the next step without further purification. 8-(2-Nitrophenylamino)-6H-dibenzo[b,e]oxepin-11one (28a). Compound $14(0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 1.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ was given to a suspension of $0.10 \mathrm{~g}(4.2 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{NaH}(55 \%)$ in 10 mL of DMF in small portions. After the gas formation was completed, 0.20 g $(1.4 \mathrm{mmol}) 2$-fluoronitrobenzene were added, and the mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . A 10 mL portion of ice water was added, and the deposit was filtered and washed to yield 0.30 g ( $65.13 \%$ ) of 28a, mp $217{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

A Similar Procedure Was Used to Prepare the Following: 3-(2-Nitro-phenylamino)-6,11-dihydro-dibenzo $[b, e]$ thiepin-11one (26a). Yield $43.7 \%$; mp 186-188 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. 8-(2-Fluoro-4-nitro-phenylamino)-6 $\boldsymbol{H}$-dibenzo $[$ b,e $]$ oxepin-11-one (28b). Yield $78.8 \%$; $\mathrm{mp} 239^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .8$-(4-Nitrophenylamino)-6H-dibenzo $[b, e]$ oxepin-11one (28 c). Yield $51.6 \%$; mp $280^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. 2-(2-Nitro-phenylamino)-10,11-dihydro-dibenzo[a,d]cyclohepten-5-one (29a). The crude product was used in the next step without further purification. 2-(4-Nitro-phenylamino)-10,11-dihydro-dibenzo[a,d]cyclohepten-5one (29c). The crude product was used in the next step without further purification. 3-(2-Amino-phenylamino)-6,11-dihydro-di-benzo[b,e]oxepin-11-one (27i). Compound $27 \mathrm{~d}(0.75 \mathrm{~g}, 2.17 \mathrm{mmol})$ is dissolved in 4 mL of EtOH and 2.45 g ( 10.9 mmol ) of tin(II)-chloride-dihydrate and stirred for 2 h at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After cooling down to room temperature, 20 mL of ice water was added, and the mixture was alkalized with NaOH ( $20 \%$ ). The aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc, the organic layer was evaporated under reduced pressure, and the residue was purified by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ 60, DCM/MeOH 95:5) to yield 135 mg (15\%) 27i; mp 122$124{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.96(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79$ $(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60-7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.01-6.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.62-6.49 (m, 2H), $6.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.15(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.86(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; IR (ATR, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 3335(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H}), 1587,1559,1498,1459,1297,1276$, 1253, 1229, 1154, 1118, 746; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

A Similar Procedure Was Used to Prepare the Following Compounds: 3-(2-Amino-phenylamino)-6,11-dihydro-dibenzo-[b,e]thiepin-11-one (26b). Mp $195{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\delta_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 8.09-8.03 (d, 1H), 7.51-7.44 (m, 4H), 7.00-6.76 (m, 6H), 4.86 (br s, 2H), 4.12 (s, 2H); IR (ATR, cm ${ }^{-1}$ ) 2923 (N-H), 2853, 1615, 1583, 1564, 1497, 1479, 1457, 1275, 1238, 1136, 735; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OS}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$ : calcd, $72.26,4.85,8.43$; found, 70.75, 5.09, 7.11. C, H, N: calcd, 72.26, 4.85, 8.43; found, 70.75, 5.09, 7.11 . No satisfactory EA even after recrystallization from EtOH/toluene. LC-MS: TSQ quantum, $92 \%$ purity; LCQDuo, $95 \%$ purity.

3-(4-Amino-phenylamino)-6,11-dihydro-dibenzo $[b, e]$ oxepin-11-one (27j). Yield 20.3\%; mp 131-133 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $8.15(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.97-7.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.25$
$(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03-7.97(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.70-6.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.52(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.33(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.56(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. IR (ATR, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 1626,1589,1564,1510,1329,1301,1277,1256,1156,1120$, 826. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

3-(3-Amino-phenylamino)-6,11-dihydro-dibenzo $[b, e]$ oxepin-11-one (27k). Yield $7.8 \%$; mp $150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.19$ $(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.95(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80-7.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53-7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.36-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73-6.36(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.09$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.16(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; IR (ATR, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 1585,1569$, 1490, 1459, 1297, 1276, 1254, 1230, 1156, 1121, 759, 701; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

3-(4-Amino-2-fluoro-phenylamino)-6,11-dihydro-dibenzo[b,e]-oxepin-11-one (27l). Yield $20.4 \%$; mp $123-125{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.16(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.94(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-$ $7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.55-6.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.30(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.74$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.13(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; IR (ATR, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 1624,1589$, 1564, 1517, 1494, 1299, 1277, 1229, 1155, 1120; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{15}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

3-(2-Amino-4-fluoro-phenylamino)-6,11-dihydro-dibenzo[b,e]-oxepin-11-one (27m). Yield $26.0 \%$; mp $182.6{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.16(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09-7.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.54-6.39(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.59$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.2(\mathrm{ws}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; IR (ATR, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 1631,1600$, 1549, 1506, 1303, 1271, 1232, 1156, 1121, 825, 755; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{15}{ }^{-}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

3-(2,4-Diamino-phenylamino)-6,11-dihydro-dibenzo $[b, e]$ oxepin-11-one (27n). Yield $4.9 \%$; mp $191.8{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.15$ $(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.94(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.36-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87$ $(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.44(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.20-6.05(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{~s}$, 2H), $3.68(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H})$; IR (ATR, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 1622,1590,1563,1514,1468$, 1384, 1300, 1276, 1255, 1235, 1155, 1119, 922, 758, 700; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$ : calcd, 72.49, 5.17, 12.68; found, 71.03, 4.35, 11.53. LC-MS: TSQ quantum, $96 \%$ purity; LCQDuo, $90 \%$ purity.

8-(2-Aminophenylamino)-6,11-dihydrodibenzo[b,e]oxepin-11one (28d). The product was purified by column chromatography (LiChroprep RP-18, ACN/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ 6:4) to yield $0.16 \mathrm{~g}(50.0 \%) \mathbf{2 8 d}$; $\operatorname{mp} 231^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (ATR, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 1591, 1577, 1546, 1301, 1245, 1208, 767, 736.

2-(2-Amino-phenylamino)-10,11-dihydro-dibenzo $[a, d]$ cyclo-hepten-5-one (29b). Yield $10.7 \%$; mp $153{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (ATR, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 1599, 1581, 1566, 1499, 1290, 1279, 1258, 1111, 750, 694; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

2-(4-Amino-phenylamino)-10,11-dihydro-dibenzo[a,d]cyclo-hepten-5-one (29d). Yield $5.5 \%$; mp $217{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $8.15(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.02(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04$ $(\mathrm{d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.69-6.75(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.55(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{~d}$, 2H), 3.06-3.16 (m, 4H); IR (ATR, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ 1582, 1562, 1506, 1295, 1281, 1211, 1109, 825, 758; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

2-(2-Amino-phenylamino)-dibenzo[a,d]cyclohepten-5-one (30b). Yield $7.2 \%$; mp $232{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.19(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.03-8.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.67-7.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.57-7.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10$ $(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.88-6.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.75-6.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.61$ (t, 1H), $4.88(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; IR (ATR, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 1596,1571,1558,1497$, 1370, 1304, 1257, 1226, 806, 751, 735; Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$, N.

Inhibitory activity on CYP-450 isozymes was determined by BDGentest Corp. ${ }^{16}$ Values are reported as \% inhibition at a concentration of $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$.
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